DICOM Conformance Statement Checklist

Ask each vendor these 10 questions, then check every answer against the conformance statement itself. Use one sheet per product.

Vendor: _____ Product and version: _____ Reviewed by: _____ Date: _____

#	Question to ask the vendor	Where to check in the statement	Yes	Partial	No
1	**Can you provide the DICOM conformance statement for the exact version we are buying?**	Cover page: product name and version match your quote	☐	☐	☐
2	**Does it follow the current PS3.2 template?**	Annex N structure: Overview with summary tables, Content and Transfer table	☐	☐	☐
3	**Which SOP Classes do you support for each of our modalities, and in which roles?**	Overview tables; one product SCU, the other SCP, for each object you exchange	☐	☐	☐
4	**Which transfer syntaxes do you accept and send for each object type?**	Content and Transfer table; at least one shared syntax, ideally your modalities' compressed formats	☐	☐	☐
5	**Do you support the Verification service as both SCU and SCP?**	Classic DICOM services table; needed for a DICOM echo test	☐	☐	☐
6	**Which DICOMweb services do you support, at which levels and in which roles?**	DICOMweb services table: QIDO-RS, WADO-RS, STOW-RS; study, series, instance	☐	☐	☐
7	**Do you transcode between transfer syntaxes for web clients?**	DICOMweb section; matters for zero-footprint viewers	☐	☐	☐
8	**Which character sets do you support?**	Character set table in the overview; non-Latin names and multiple languages	☐	☐	☐
9	**How do you handle private attributes from other vendors' systems?**	Annexes and attribute mapping; preserved, not dropped, during migration	☐	☐	☐
10	**Which DICOM security profiles do you support, and how is user identity handled?**	Security section: TLS, security profiles, user identity, web services security	☐	☐	☐

Red flags: no statement or a version mismatch; "fully DICOM compliant" with no SOP Class detail; no Verification SCP; a missing role you need; uncompressed-only transfer syntaxes; cloud or web claims with an empty DICOMweb section; undocumented private attributes; no security section.

Based on DICOM PS3.2 2026d (Conformance, Annex N template) and PS3.1.
There is no official DICOM certification. Conformance does not guarantee interoperability; test connectivity before go-live.

MEDICAI
medicai.io